In re: Baek et al.

Serial No.: 10/824,111 Filed: April 14, 2004

Page 8 of 8

REMARKS

This Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement is responsive to the Office Action mailed November 10, 2005 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Restriction is requested between one of two groups. As alleged in the Office Action, Group I (Claims 1-39) is "drawn to a semiconductor module," while Group II (Claims 40-59) is "drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device." Office Action, p. 2. Applicants elect Group I, Claims 1-39 without traverse. Claims 40-59 have been canceled without prejudice towards pursuing these claims in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for substantive examination of Claims 1-39, which action is respectfully requested. Any questions that the Examiner may have regarding this correspondence can be directed to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert M. Meeks

Registration No. 40,723

Customer Number 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627 919-854-1400

919-854-1401 (Fax)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on permber 9, 2005

2003

Candi L. Riggs